

Genome Structural Variation


Evan Eichler

Howard Hughes Medical Institute
University of Washington


January 18th, 2013, Comparative Genomics, Český Krumlov

Disclosure: EEE was a member of the Pacific Biosciences Advisory Board (2009-2013)


Genome Structural Variation



Deletion



Duplication



Inversion

Genetic Variation

Types.

- Single base-pair changes – point mutations
- Small insertions/deletions– frameshift, microsatellite, minisatellite
- Mobile elements—retroelement insertions (300bp -10 kb in size)
- Large-scale genomic variation (>1 kb)
 - Large-scale Deletions, Inversion, translocations
 - Segmental Duplications
- Chromosomal variation—translocations, inversions, fusions.

Sequence

Cytogenetics

Introduction

- **Genome structural variation** includes copy-number variation (CNV) and balanced events such as inversions and translocations—originally defined as > 1 kbp but now > 50 bp
- **Objectives**
 1. Genomic architecture and disease impact.
 2. Detection and characterization methods
 3. Primate genome evolution

Copy number polymorphism in *Fcgr3* predisposes to glomerulonephritis in rats and humans

Timothy J. Aitman¹, Rong Dong^{1*}, Timothy J. Vyse^{2*}, Penny J. Norsworthy^{1*}, Michelle D. Johnson¹, Jennifer Smith³, Jonathan Mangion¹, Cheri Robertson-Lowe^{1,2}, Amy J. Marshall¹, Enrico Petretto¹, Matthew D. Hodges¹, Gurjeet Bhargal³, Sheetal G. Patel¹, Kelly Sheehan-Rooney¹, Mark Duda^{1,3}, Paul R. Cook^{1,3}, David J. Evans³, Jan Domin³, Jonathan Flint⁴, Joseph J. Boyle⁵, Charles D. Pusey³ & H. Terence Cook⁵ [Nature](#). 2006

The Influence of *CCL3L1* Gene—Containing Segmental Duplications on HIV-1/AIDS Susceptibility

Enrique Gonzalez,^{1*} Hemant Kulkarni,^{1*} Hector Bolivar,^{1*†} Andrea Mangano,^{2*} Racquel Sanchez,^{1†} Gabriel Catano,^{1†} Robert J. Nibbs,^{3†} Barry I. Freedman,^{4†} Marlon P. Quinones,^{1†} Michael J. Bamshad,⁵ Krishna K. Murthy,⁶ Brad H. Rovin,⁷ William Bradley,^{8,9} Robert A. Clark,¹ Stephanie A. Anderson,^{8,9} Robert J. O'Connell,^{9,10} Brian K. Agan,^{9,10} Seema S. Ahuja,¹ Rosa Bologna,¹¹ Luisa Sen,² Matthew J. Dolan,^{9,10,12§} Sunil K. Ahuja^{1§}

Rare chromosomal deletions and duplications increase risk of schizophrenia

The International Schizophrenia Consortium* **Nature 455:237-41 2008**

Large recurrent microdeletions associated with schizophrenia

Nature 455:232-6 2008

Hreinn Stefansson^{1*}, Dan Rujescu^{2*}, Sven Cichon^{3,4*}, Olli P. H. Pietiläinen⁵, Andres Ingason¹, Stacy Steinberg¹, Ragnheiður Fossdal¹, Ennillbert Sigurdsson⁶, Thorður Sigmundsson⁶, Jacobine E. Buizer-Voskamp⁷

Discovery of previously unidentified genomic disorders from the duplication architecture of the human genome

Andrew J Sharp¹, Sierra Hansen¹, Rebecca R Selzer², Ze Cheng¹, Regina Regan³, Jane A Hurst⁴, Helen Stewart⁴, Sue M Price⁴, Edward Blair⁴, Raoul C Hennekam^{5,6}, Carrie A Fitzpatrick⁷, Rick Segraves⁸, Todd A Richmond², Cheryl Guiver³, Donna G Albertson^{8,9}, Daniel Pinkel⁸, Peggy S Eis², Stuart Schwartz⁷, Samantha J L Knight³ & Evan E Eichler¹ **VOLUME 38 | NUMBER 9 | SEPTEMBER 2006 NATURE GENETICS**

Association between Microdeletion and Microduplication at 16p11.2 and Autism


Lauren A. Weiss, Ph.D., Yiping Shen, Ph.D., Joshua M. Korn, B.S., Dan E. Arking, Ph.D., David T. Miller, M.D., Ph.D., Ragnheiður Fossdal, B.Sc., Evald Saemundsen, B.A., Hreinn Stefansson, Ph.D., Manuel A.R. Ferreira, Ph.D., Todd Green, B.S., Orah S. Platt, M.D., Douglas M. Ruderfer, M.S., Christopher A. Walsh, M.D., Ph.D., David Altshuler, M.D., Ph.D., Aravinda Chakravarti, Ph.D., Rudolph E. Tanzi, Ph.D., Kari Stefansson, M.D., Ph.D., Susan L. Santangelo, Sc.D., James F. Gusella, Ph.D., Pamela Sklar, M.D., Ph.D., Bai-Lin Wu, M.Med., Ph.D., and Mark J. Daly, Ph.D., for the Autism Consortium **N Engl J Med 2008;358:667-75**

Strong Association of De Novo Copy Number Mutations with Autism


Jonathan Sebat,^{1*} B. Lakshmi,¹ Dheeraj Malhotra,^{1*} Jennifer Troge,^{1*} Christa Lese-Martin,² Tom Walsh,³ Boris Yamrom,¹ Seungtae Yoon,¹ Alex Krasnitz,¹ Jude Kendall,¹ Anthony Leotta,¹ Deepa Pai,¹ Ray Zhang,¹ Yoon-Ha Lee,¹ James Hicks,¹ Sarah J. Spence,⁴ Annette T. Lee,⁵ Kaija Puura,⁶ Terho Lehtimäki,⁷ David Ledbetter,² Peter K. Gregersen,⁵ Joel Bregman,⁸ James S. Sutcliffe,⁹ Vaidehi Jobanputra,¹⁰ Wendy Chung,¹⁰ Dorothy Warburton,¹⁰ Mary-Claire King,³ David Skuse,¹¹ Daniel H. Geschwind,¹² T. Conrad Gilliam,¹³ Kenny Ye,¹⁴ Michael Wigler^{1†} **SCIENCE VOL 316 20 APRIL 2007**

Perspective: Segmental Duplications (SD)

Definition: Continuous portion of genomic sequence represented more than once in the genome ($>90\%$ and $> 1\text{kb}$ in length)—a historical copy number variation



Importance: Structural Variation






Calvin Bridges




Alfred Sturtevant



H.J. Mueller



Importance: Evolution of New Gene Function



GeneA

Mutation

Maintain old
Function

Duplication



Acquire New/
Modified Function


Mutation

GeneA'

Mutation


Loss of Function

Human Genome Segmental Duplication Pattern



She, X *et al.*, (2004) *Nature* 431:927-30
<http://humanparalogy.gs.washington.edu>

Mouse Segmental Duplication Pattern




- 118 Mb or ~4% dup
- >20 kb, >95%
- 89% are tandem
- EST poor
- Associated with LINEs

Human Segmental Duplications Properties




- Large (>10 kb)
- Recent (>95% identity)
- **Interspersed (60% are separated by more than 1 Mb)**
- Modular in organization
- Difficult to resolve

Model #1: Rare Structural Variation




•**Genomic Disorders:** A group of diseases that results from genome rearrangement mediated mostly by non-allelic homologous recombination. (*Inoue & Lupski , 2002*).

DiGeorge/VCFs/22q11 Syndrome





1/2000 live births
180 phenotypes
75-80% are sporadic (not inherited)





Human Genome Segmental Duplication Map

- 130 candidate regions (298 Mb)
- 23 associated with genetic disease
- Target patients array CGH




Chromosome 17



Chromosome 15




Chromosome 15




Genome Wide CNV Burden

(15,767 cases of ID,DD,MCA vs. 8,328 controls)




Model #2: Copy Number Polymorphisms and Disease

Gene	Type	Locus	Seg. Dup	Phenotype
GSTT1	Decrease	22q11.2	54.3 kb	halothane/epoxide sensitivity
GSTM1	Decrease	1p13.3	18 kb	toxin resistance, cancer susceptibility
CYP2D6	Increase	22q13.1	5kb	antidepressant sensitivity
CYP21A2	Increase	6p21.3	35 kb	Congenital adrenal hyperplasia
LPA	Decrease	6q27	5.5*n kb	Coronary heart disease risk
RHD	Decrease	1p36.11	~60 kb	Rhesus blood group sensitivity
C4A/B	Decrease	6p21.33	32.8 kb	Lupus (SLE)
DEFB4	Decrease	8p23.1	~310 kb	Crohn Disease
DEFB4	Increase	8p23.1	~310 kb	Psoriasis



- **Disease CNPs enriched within duplicated sequences.**

Structural Variation and Enriched Gene Functions



Drug detoxification:

glutathione-S-transferase, cytochromeP450, carboxylesterases

Immune response and inflammation:

Natural killer-cell receptors, defensin, complement factors

Surface integrity genes:

mucin, late epidermal cornified envelope genes, galectin

Surface antigens:


melanoma antigen gene family, rhesus antigen

• **Environmental interaction and cell-cell signaling molecules enriched**


Cooper et al., 2007

Copy-Number Detection is not Sufficient!

Color-Blindness in Humans: The Opsin Loci



- Normal phenotypic variation
- Red-green color vision defects, X-linked
- 8% of males and 0.5% females. NEur.





Common and Rare Structural Variation are Linked


17q21.31 Deletion Syndrome



Chromosome 17




17q21.31 Inversion



- Region of recurrent deletion is a site of common inversion polymorphism in the human population
- Inversion is largely restricted to Caucasian populations
 - 20% frequency in European and Mediterranean populations
- **Inversion is associated with increase in global recombination and increased fecundity**

b A Common Inversion Polymorphism



- Tested 17 parents of children with microdeletion and found that every parent within whose germline the deletion occurred carried an inversion
- Inversion polymorphism is a risk factor for the microdeletion event

Duplication Architecture of 17q21.31 Inversion (H2) vs. Direct (H1) Haplotype




- Inversion occurred 2.3 million years ago and was mediated by the LRRC37A core duplication
- H2 haplotype acquired human-specific duplications in direct orientation that mediate rearrangement and disrupts *KANSL1* gene

Zody et al., Nat. Genet. 2008, Itsara et al., Am J. Human Genet 2012

Structural Variation Diversity

Eight Distinct Complex Haplotypes





Meltz-Steinberg *et al.*, Boettger *et al.*, *Nat. Genet.* 2012

Summary

- Human genome is enriched for segmental duplications which predisposes to recurrent large CNVs during germ-cell production
- 15% of neurocognitive disease in intellectual disabled children is “caused” by CNVs—8% of normals carry large events
- Segmental Duplications enriched 10-25 fold for structural variation.
- Increased complexity is beneficial and deleterious: Ancestral duplication predisposes to inversion polymorphism, inversion polymorphisms acquires duplication, haplotype becomes positively selected and now predisposes to microdeletion

Genome-wide SV Discovery Approaches

Hybridization-based

- Iafrate et al., 2004, Sebat et al., 2004
- SNP microarrays: McCarroll *et al.*, 2008, Cooper *et al.*, 2008, Itsara *et al.*, 2009
- Array CGH: Redon *et al.* 2006, Conrad *et al.*, 2010, Park *et al.*, 2010, WTCCC, 2010


Single molecule analysis

- Optical mapping: Teague et al., 2010

Sequencing-based

- Read-depth: Bailey et al, 2002
- Fosmid ESP: Tuzun *et al.* 2005, Kidd *et al.* 2008
- Sanger sequencing: Mills *et al.*, 2006
- Next-gen sequencing: Korbel *et al.* 2007, Yoon *et al.*, 2009, Alkan et al., 2009, Hormozdiari *et al.* 2009, Chen *et al.* 2009; Mills 1000 Genomes Project, Nature, 2011


Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization




← 12 mm →

One copy gain = $\log_2(3/2) = 0.57$ (3 copies vs. 2 copies in reference)


One-copy loss = $\log_2(1/2) = -1$




SNP Microarray detection of Deletion (Illumina)



SNP Microarray detection of Duplication (Illumina)




Clone-Based Sequence Resolution of Structural Variation




Dataset: 1,122,408 fosmid pairs preprocessed (15.5X genome coverage)
639,204 fosmid pairs BEST pairs (8.8 X genome coverage)

Genome-wide Detection of Structural Variation (>8kb) by End-Sequence Pairs



Experimental Approaches Incomplete

(Examined 5 identical genomes > 5kbp)




McCarroll et al.
N=236
Affymetrix 6.0 SNP Microarray

Kidd et al., *Cell* 2010


Next-Generation Sequencing Methods

- **Read pair analysis**
 - Deletions, small novel insertions, inversions, transposons
 - Size and breakpoint resolution dependent to insert size
- **Read depth analysis**
 - Deletions and duplications only
 - Relatively poor breakpoint resolution
- **Split read analysis**
 - Small novel insertions/deletions, and mobile element insertions
 - 1bp breakpoint resolution
- **Local and *de novo* assembly**
 - SV in unique segments
 - 1bp breakpoint resolution



Computational Approaches are Incomplete

159 genomes (2-4X) (deletions only)




Mills *et al.*, Nature 2011

Challenges

- Size spectrum—>5 kbp discovery limit for most experimental platforms; NGS can detect much smaller but misses events mediated by repeats.
- Class bias: deletions>>> duplications>>>> balanced events (inversions)
- Multiallelic copy number states—incomplete references and the complexity of repetitive DNA
- Exome vs. Genome
- False negatives.



Using Sequence Read Depth

- Map whole genome sequence to reference genome
 - Variation in copy number correlates linearly with read-depth
- Caveat: need to develop algorithms that can map reads to all possible locations given a preset divergence (eg. mrFAST, mrsFAST)






Copy number from short read depth

- Map reads to reference with *mrsFAST*
 - Records all placements for each read
 - <http://mrsfast.sourceforge.net>
- Per-library QC, (G+C)-bias correction
- Train estimator using depths at regions of known, invariable copy
- 1 kbp-windowed CN genomewide heatmap




chr9 (p13.1-p11.2) 9p23 21.3 9q12 21.13 31.1 32.33.1



Interphase FISH

Read-Depth CNV Heat Maps vs. FISH




- 72/80 FISH assays correspond precisely to read-depth prediction (>20 kbp)
- 80/80 FISH assays correspond precisely to +/- 1 read-depth prediction

17q21 MAPT Region for 150 Genomes

CEPH
European

Asian


Yoruba





71% of Europeans carry at least Partial duplication distal (17q21 associated)—all inversions carry the duplication

24% of Asians are hexaploid for NSF gene N-ETHYLMALEIMIDE-SENSITIVE FACTOR potentially important in synapse membrane fusion; NSF (decreased expression in schizophrenia brains (Mimics, 2000), Drosophila mutants results in aberrant synaptic transmission)

Read-Depth vs. Quantitative PCR




CCL3L1—chemokine ligand 3-like (1.9 kbp)



- Tested 155 genomes read-depth (1-2 X coverage) vs. QPCR
- $r^2=0.93$ between sequence and quantitative PCR estimates



Unique Sequence Identifiers Distinguish Copies

copy1 ATGCTAGGCATATAATATCCGACGATATACATATAGATGTTAG...
copy2 ATGCTAGGCATAGAATATCCGACGATATACATATACATGTTAG...
copy3 ATGCTACGCATAGAATATCCACGATATACATATACATGTTAG...
copy4 ATGCTACGCATATAATATCCGACGATATAC--ATACATGTTAG.



- Self-comparison identifies 3.9 million singly unique nucleotide (SUN) identifiers in duplicated sequences
- Select 3.4 million SUNs based on detection in 10/11 genomes=informative SUNs=paralogous sequence variants that are largely fixed
- Measure read-depth for specific SUNs--genotype copy-number status of specific paralogs



NBPF Gene Family Diversity



CNV Detection by Exome Read-Depth

conifer



COPY NUMBER INFERENCE FROM EXOME READS



**Discard first 10-12
components of variance**

Krumm et al., Genome Res., 2012

Detecting Smaller CNVs




- 5-fold increased sensitivity for CNVs ≤ 10 kbp than high density SNP microarray.


Going Forward

- 1) **Focus on comprehensive assessment of genetic variation—** NGS are indirect and do not resolve structure by provide specificity and excellent dynamic range response.
- 2) **High quality sequence resolution of complex structural variation to establish alternate references/haplotypes—**often show extraordinary differences in genetic diversity
- 3) **Technology advances in whole genome sequencing “Third Generation Sequencing”:** Long-read sequencing technologies with NGS throughput in order to sequence and assemble genomes *de novo*


WG Sequencing Recent Gene Duplicates is difficult .



Shorter-Read Technologies further Limit.




NCBI Build 36 WGAC 94% +
Celera WSSD +
YH WSSD



- SOAP-de novo Assembly YH—93% of SDs missing
- Subsequent improvements in algorithms, Illumina read length, reads from longer inserts, fosmid pools all improve continuity but leave 75-81% of SDs missing or mis-assembled

Alkan et al. *Nat. Methods*, 2011, Chaisson et al unpublished

Single-Molecule Real-Time Sequencing (SMRT)




Long reads no cloning or amplification but lower throughput and 15% error rate

HGAP and QUIVER

ARTICLES

Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data

Chen-Shan Chin¹, David H Alexander¹, Patrick Marks¹, Aaron A Klammer¹, James Drake¹, Cheryl Heiner¹, Alicia Clum², Alex Copeland², John Huddleston³, Evan E Eichler³, Stephen W Turner¹ & Jonas Korlach¹




<https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Bioinformatics-Training/wiki/HGAP>


Chin et al. *Nat. Methods*, 2013

A Simple Experiment

BAC Tiling Path




Seg Dup Organization



- Select tiling path of BAC previously sequenced using Sanger and corresponding to region of Complex SD
- Sequence each clone (~200 fold) using on average 1 SMRT Cell and assemble using HGAP and QUIVER
- Compare Sanger and Pacbio assembly using BLASR


Results



- Accurate ($QV > 45$) assembly of complex region of human genome by BAC—125 differences—31/44 favor PacBio over Sanger
- Most differences are indels but one large scale collapse of 20 kbp region to 12 kbp

Strategy for Resolving Complex Regions

**Select Clones by BAC-end
Sequence Data**




**Nextera Illumina Sequencing
(96-well format)**



**PacBio Sequencing of Tiling
Path**


Whole Genome Sequencing with PacBio

- CHM1—complete hydatidiform mole (CHM1)- “Platinum Genome Assembly”
- 10X Sequence coverage using RSII P5/C3 chemistry









<http://datasets.pacb.com/2013/Human10x/READS/index.html>

Validated Breakpoint-Resolved Deletions




Inversions: Single Molecule Detection



Transitioning into the Centromeric Satellite

- Single 31.8 kb read mapping to edge of centromere on chromosome 16:




- HSAT2RS anchor and extends 25 kbp into centromeric
- Site of extensive copy number polymorphism and potential hotspot for rearrangements associated with cancer
- Data suggest that 5 bp HSAT2 is organized into a 2.8 kbp HOR

Summary

- Approaches
 - Multiple methods need to be employed—Readpair+Read-depth+SplitRead and an experimental method
 - Tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity
 - Complexity not fully understood
- Read-pair and read-depth NGS approaches
 - narrow the size spectrum of structural variation
 - lead to more accurate prediction of copy-number
 - unparalleled specificity in genotyping duplicated genes (reference genome quality key)
- Third generation sequencing methods hold promise but require high coverage



Why?



- **Ohno—Duplication is the primary force by which new gene functions are created**
- **There are 990 annotated genes completely contained within segmental duplications**

Duplication Acceleration in Human Great Ape Ancestor

Mbp of Overlap



- A 3-4 fold excess in *de novo* segmental duplications in common ancestor of human, chimp and gorilla but after divergence from orangutan
- Not a continuous accumulation

Marques-Bonet et al., *Nature*, 2009; Ventura et al., *Genome Res.* 2011


Great Ape Genome Diversity Project




- Deep genome sequencing of 79 wild and captive born great apes (6 species and 7 subspecies) and 10 human genomes
- 167 Mbp (83.6 million SNPs and 84.0 fixed SNVs)
- 469 Mbp affected by copy number
- 745 CNV; 1080 indels; 806 SNVs affect gene structure

Prado and Sudmant *et al.*, Nature, 2013

Ape Segmental Duplication Patterns




Rate of Duplication




$p=9.786 \times 10^{-12}$

Rate of Deletion




*** $p=4.79 \times 10^{-9}$**

Mosaic Architecture



- A mosaic of recently transposed duplications
- Duplications within duplications.
- Potentiates “exon shuffling”, regulatory innovation


Human Chromosome 16 Core Duplicon



•The burst of segmental duplications 8-12 mya corresponds to core-associated duplications which have occurred on six human chromosomes (chromosomes 1,2, 7, 15, 16, 17)


•Most of the recurrent genomic disorders associated with developmental delay, epilepsy, intellectual disability, etc. are mediated by duplication blocks centered on a core.

Increasing Duplication Complexity and Recurrence




- Duplication blocks have become increasingly more complex (more duplicons) and have expanded in an interspersed fashion over the last 25 million years.
- Duplication blocks of different flanking content with exception of core

Core Expansion Model




Human Great-ape “Core Duplicons” have led to the Emergence of New Genes


TRE2




NPIP




NBPF



LRRC37A



RGPD




**Features: No orthologs in mouse; multiple copies in chimp & human
dramatic changes in expression profile; signatures of positive selection**

Core Duplicon Hypothesis

The selective disadvantage of interspersed duplications is offset by the benefit of evolutionary plasticity and the emergence of new genes with new functions associated with core duplicons.

Marques-Bonet and Eichler, CSHL *Quant Biol*, 2008

Human-specific gene family expansions




Notable human-specific expansion of brain development genes.

Neuronal cell death: $p=5.7e-4$; Neurological disease: $p=4.6e-2$


Sudmant et al., *Science*, 2010

SRGAP2 function

- *SRGAP2* (SLIT-ROBO Rho GTPase activating protein 2) functions to control migration of neurons and dendritic formation in the cortex
- Gene has been duplicated three times in human and no other mammalian lineage
- Duplicated loci not in human genome





SRGAP2 Human Specific Duplication




SRGAP2C is fixed in humans

(n=661 individual genomes)




SRGAP2 duplicates are expressed


RNAseq





In situ



SRGAP2C duplicate antagonizes function





Summary

- Interspersed duplication architecture sensitized our genome to copy-number variation increasing our species predisposition to disease—children with autism and intellectual disability
- Duplication architecture has evolved recently in a punctuated fashion around core duplicons which encode human great-ape specific gene innovations (eg. *NPIP*, *NBPF*, *LRRC37*, etc.).
- Cores have propagated in a stepwise fashion “transducing” flanking sequences---human-specific acquisitions flanks are associated with brain developmental genes.
- **Core Duplicon Hypothesis:** Selective disadvantage of these interspersed duplications offset by newly minted genes and new locations within our species. Eg. *SRGAP2C*

Overall Summary

- **I. Disease:** Role of CNVs in human disease—two models common and rare—a genomic bias in location and gene type
- **II. Methods:** Read-pair and read-depth methods to characterize SVs within genomes—need a high quality reference—not a solved problem.
- **III: Evolution:** Rapid evolution of complex human architecture that predisposes to disease coupled to gene innovation

Disease



Evolution

Eichler Lab



<http://eichlerlab.gs.washington.edu/>

genguest